

Problem set #8

Problem 1 *Gravitational potential*

- (a) Consider an N -body system of stars with coordinates \vec{x}_i and masses m_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$. Show that the negative of the gradient of the quantity Φ , defined as

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Gm_i}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i|},$$

is equal to the gravitational force of the system. (In other words, Φ is the gravitational potential of the system.)

- (b) Newton proved two results that enable us to calculate the gravitational potential of any spherically symmetric distribution of matter easily:

Newton's first theorem: *A body that is inside a spherical shell of matter experiences no net gravitational force from that shell.*

Newton's second theorem: *The gravitational force on a body that lies outside a spherical shell of matter is the same as it would be if all the shell's matter were concentrated into a point at its center.*

Consider a spherical distribution of mass with density $\rho(r) \sim r^{-n}$, with $n = d \ln \rho / d \ln r$.

- Use Newton's theorems to calculate the gravitational force F on a particle of mass m_1 at a position r_1 for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.
- How does the total mass of the system behave when going to large radii ($r_1 \rightarrow \infty$)?
- At what value for n does this behaviour change?

Problem 2 *Tully-Fisher relation*

The Tully-Fisher relation is an empirical correlation between the luminosities of disk galaxies (the luminosities of their stellar disks) and their circular velocities. If you assume an exponential surface density profile for the stellar disk, $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 e^{-r/r_d}$, where r_d gives the typical size of the disk, and a Tully-Fisher relation of the form $L \sim v_{\max}^4$,

- (a) Find the total mass of the disk M as a function of r_d .
- (b) How large is the size of a galaxy of mass $\frac{1}{3}M$?
- (c) Find the mass-to-light ratio M/L . Use $v_{\max}^2 = \frac{M}{r_d}$.

Problem 3 *The black-hole-mass-velocity-dispersion relation*

There is observational evidence that most elliptical galaxies harbor supermassive black holes at their centers. The observations indicate that the black hole masses correlate with global properties of the galaxies. In the table below you will find measurements of the black hole masses M_{BH} and the velocity dispersions σ of the stellar component for a number of elliptical galaxies. Plot the black hole mass against the velocity dispersion and verify that a correlation exists.

TABLE 1
GALAXY SAMPLE

Galaxy	Type	M_B	M_{BH} (Low, High) (M_{\odot})	Method	σ_1 (km s^{-1})	Distance (Mpc)	M/L , Band	References for Black Hole Masses
Milky Way.....	SBbc	-17.65	1.8×10^6 (1.5, 2.2)	s, p	103	0.008	1.0, <i>K</i>	1
N221 = M32.....	E2	-15.83	2.5×10^6 (2.0, 3.0)	s, 3I	75	0.81	1.85, <i>I</i>	2
N224 = M31.....	Sb	-19.00	4.5×10^7 (2.0, 8.5)	s	160	0.76	5, <i>V</i>	3, 4, 5
N821.....	E4	-20.41	3.7×10^7 (2.9, 6.1)	s, 3I	209	24.1	5.8, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N1023.....	SB0	-18.40	4.4×10^7 (3.9, 4.9)	s, 3I	205	11.4	5.0, <i>V</i>	8
N1068.....	Sb	-18.82	1.5×10^7 (1.0, 3.0)	m	151	15.0	...	9
N2778.....	E2	-18.59	1.4×10^7 (0.5, 2.2)	s, 3I	175	22.9	6.4, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N2787.....	SB0	-17.28	4.1×10^7 (3.6, 4.5)	g	140	7.5	...	10
N3115.....	S0	-20.21	1.0×10^9 (0.4, 2.0)	s	230	9.7	6.9, <i>V</i>	11
N3245.....	S0	-19.65	2.1×10^8 (1.6, 2.6)	g	205	20.9	3.7, <i>R</i>	12
N3377.....	E5	-19.05	1.0×10^8 (0.9, 1.9)	s, 3I	145	11.2	2.7, <i>V</i>	6, 13
N3379.....	E1	-19.94	1.0×10^8 (0.5, 1.6)	s, 3I	206	10.6	4.6, <i>V</i>	14
N3384.....	S0	-18.99	1.6×10^7 (1.4, 1.7)	s, 3I	143	11.6	2.8, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N3608.....	E2	-19.86	1.9×10^8 (1.3, 2.9)	s, 3I	182	22.9	3.7, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N4258.....	Sbc	-17.19	3.9×10^7 (3.8, 4.0)	m, a	130	7.2	...	15
N4261.....	E2	-21.09	5.2×10^8 (4.1, 6.2)	g	315	31.6	5.0, <i>V</i>	16
N4291.....	E2	-19.63	3.1×10^8 (0.8, 3.9)	s, 3I	242	26.2	4.4, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N4342.....	S0	-17.04	3.0×10^8 (2.0, 4.7)	s, 3I	225	15.3	6.3, <i>I</i>	17
N4459.....	S0	-19.15	7.0×10^7 (5.7, 8.3)	g	186	16.1	...	10
N4473.....	E5	-19.89	1.1×10^8 (0.31, 1.5)	s, 3I	190	15.7	6.3, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N4486 = M87.....	E0	-21.53	3.0×10^9 (2.0, 4.0)	g	375	16.1	4.0, <i>V</i>	18, 19
N4564.....	E3	-18.92	5.6×10^7 (4.8, 5.9)	s, 3I	162	15.0	1.9, <i>I</i>	6, 7
N4596.....	SB0	-19.48	7.8×10^7 (4.5, 12)	g	152	16.8	...	10
N4649.....	E1	-21.30	2.0×10^9 (1.4, 2.4)	s, 3I	385	16.8	9.0, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N4697.....	E4	-20.24	1.7×10^8 (1.6, 1.9)	s, 3I	177	11.7	4.8, <i>V</i>	6, 7
N4742.....	E4	-18.94	1.4×10^7 (0.9, 1.8)	s, 3I	90	15.5	...	20
N5845.....	E3	-18.72	2.4×10^8 (1.0, 2.8)	s, 3I	234	25.9	4.8, <i>V</i>	6
N6251.....	E2	-21.81	5.3×10^8 (3.5, 7.0)	g	290	93.0	8.5, <i>V</i>	21
N7052.....	E4	-21.31	3.3×10^8 (2.0, 5.6)	g	266	58.7	6.3, <i>I</i>	22
N7457.....	S0	-17.69	3.5×10^6 (2.1, 4.6)	s, 3I	67	13.2	3.4, <i>V</i>	6, 7
IC 1459.....	E3	-21.39	2.5×10^9 (2.1, 3.0)	s, 3I	340	29.2	3.1, <i>I</i>	23

NOTES.—Distances are taken from Tonry et al. 2001 for most of the galaxies; where these are not available, the distance is determined from the recession velocity, assuming a Hubble constant of $80 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. Absolute magnitudes are for the hot component of the galaxy only. The mass-to-light ratios M/L are usually determined from the same dynamical models that are used to derive the black hole masses; they are given here for reference but play no role in our analysis. Methods: s = stellar radial velocities; p = stellar proper motions; m = maser radial velocities; a = maser accelerations; g = rotating gas disk from emission-line observations; 3I = axisymmetric dynamical models, including three integrals of motion.

REFERENCES.—(1) Chakrabarty & Saha 2001; (2) Verolme et al. 2002; (3) Tremaine 1995; (4) Kormendy & Bender 1999; (5) Bacon et al. 2001; (6) Gebhardt et al. 2002; (7) J. Pinkney et al. 2002, in preparation; (8) Bower et al. 2001; (9) Greenhill & Gwinn 1997; (10) Sarzi et al. 2001; (11) Kormendy et al. 1996a; (12) Barth et al. 2001b; (13) Kormendy et al. 1998; (14) Gebhardt et al. 2000b; (15) Herrnstein et al. 1999; (16) Ferrarese, Ford, & Jaffe 1996; (17) Cretton & van den Bosch 1999; (18) Harms et al. 1994; (19) Macchetto et al. 1997; (20) M. E. Kaiser et al. 2002, in preparation; (21) Ferrarese & Ford 1999; (22) van der Marel & van den Bosch 1998; (23) Cappellari et al. 2002.

Which of the following functions represents the data best?

- $M_{\text{BH}} = \alpha\sigma + \beta$
- $M_{\text{BH}} = \alpha e^{\beta\sigma}$
- $M_{\text{BH}} = \alpha\sigma^\beta$
- $M_{\text{BH}} = \alpha \log(\beta\sigma)$

Determine the parameters α and β of that function.